

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 079361-9008-00 3573 10/679,960 10/06/2003 Stephen A. Adamski 10/03/2005 EXAMINER 23409 7590 MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 3745

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | ω |
|---|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 10/679,960 | ADAMSKI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Christopher Verdier | 3745 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become | NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/or | rawn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeys ection is required if the drawin | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | ents have been received. Ents have been received in iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No en received in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview | v Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | Paper No | o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: _ | |

Application/Control Number: 10/679,960

Art Unit: 3745

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-17 and 21-43, drawn to an inlet plate for a fan housing, classified in

class 415, subclass 211.1.

II. Claims 18-20, drawn to a method for making an inlet plate for a fan, comprising

bending the plate, classified in class 29, subclass 557.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as by casting or

molding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,960

Art Unit: 3745

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

Page 3

for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

In the event that the invention of Group I is elected, then the claims of Group I will be

subject to the following election of species requirement:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

A. Figures 1-6.

B. Figure 7-9.

Art Unit: 3745

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Christopher Austin on September 29, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (571) 272-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C.V.

September 29, 2005

Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3745

chall